A1)	*	

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-97-1-7309

TITLE: The Role of Growth Hormone and Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1 in Human Breast Cancer Growth in a Mouse Xenograft Model

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Tracey F. Weisberg, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Maine Medical Center Research

Institution

South Portland, Maine 04106

REPORT DATE: October 1998

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;

distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, searching and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

2, and to the Office of Management	and Budget, Paperwork Reduction	Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
2. REPORT DATE October 1998	3. REPORT TYPE AN Annual (15 Sep 97	ND DATES COVERED ' - 14 Sep 98)
sulin-Like Growth Facto t Model	r 1 in Human Breast	5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-97-1-7309
(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
tution		NEI OIT NOMBER
ateriel Command	G(ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
ATTMENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
tion unlimited		120. DISTRIBUTION CODE
GF-1) in the development and IGF1 may be critical to questionable whether rho pment of a primary tumor rowth hormone may be so GF1 however, enhances in sustaining tumor growth the. The effect of human rh	t of an immunodeficient to the initiation and program GH alone or in addition to or the progression of tu- emi-inhibitory to growth the time to development and size over and above hGH and IGF1 on tumor	mouse model for human ression of tumor o estrogen has a mor growth in the h for tumors dependent t of a palpable primary e what has been r IGF1, IGF2 and IGFR
	2. REPORT DATE October 1998 sulin-Like Growth Factor t Model (S) AND ADDRESS(ES) tution Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS ateriel Command ATEMENT tion unlimited arch is to determine the re GF-1) in the development and IGF1 may be critical to questionable whether rho ment of a primary tumor rowth hormone may be so GF1 however, enhances a sustaining tumor growth the. The effect of human ri	October 1998 Annual (15 Sep 97 sulin-Like Growth Factor 1 in Human Breast t Model (S) AND ADDRESS(ES) tution Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ateriel Command ATEMENT tion unlimited

14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast Cancer			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 41
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited

if our preliminary results can be applied to the development of new xenograft models.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material. Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material. Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations. In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985). For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46. In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.		Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.
distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material. Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations. In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985). For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46. In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.		Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.
this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations. In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985). For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46. In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.		distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the
adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985). For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46. In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.		this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these
adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46. In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.	(v	Animals, prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National
the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.	Av.	For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.
<pre>investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.</pre>		the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by
the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.		investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research
Jeney F. Wushe M 10/14/58 PI - signature Date		the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in
		Jeney F. Wushe M 10/14/58 PI - signature Date

Table of Contents

Front cover	1
Standard Form 298	2
Foreword	3
Introduction	5
Subject	5
Purpose	5
Scope	5
Background	5
Body of Work	6
Methods	6
Results	10
Discussion	11
Conclusions	12
References	14
Tables and Figures	16-38
Appendix	
Statement of Work	

1.0 INTRODUCTION:

1.1 Subject:

Specific genes within the immune and endocrine systems are likely to be the major controlling elements in the successful development of mouse models for mammary tumor xenografts. We believe that growth factors, specifically human growth hormone (hGH) and Insulin Like Growth Factor (IGF1) may be critically important in the successful establishment of such xenografts in an animal model.

1.2 Purpose:

The purpose of this research is to determine the role of hGH and IGF-1 in the development and maintainence of an immunodeficient mouse model for human breast cancer.

1.3 Scope

Human breast cancer growth in animal models is dependent upon an intact GH/IGF-1 axis. Based upon our preliminary data, we believe that hGH may be critical to the initiation of a primary breast neoplasm *in vivo*. IGF-1 may be critical to maintaining tumor growth *in vivo*. When the GH/IGF-1 axis is interrupted or impaired, tumor growth may become more directly influenced by $17-\beta$ estradiol.

To test the hypothesis, the following sets of experiments have been executed to date:

Experiment 1/Specific Aim1: Determine the amount of recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH)that needs to be administered to the experimental animal to result in (1) early engraftment of palpable tumors and (2) accelerated growth of the tumor in the scid/scid mouse model, and to correlate serum GH and IGF1 levels with tumor IGF1 and IGFR levels by northern and western analyses. Experiments include administration of rhGH both by continuous infusion and by daily administration to mimic the normal circadian rhythm of human growth hormone.

Experiment 2/Specific Aim 2: To determine the role of IGF1 in the initiation and/or the progression of primary breast cancer growth in a *scid/scid* mouse model and to correlate serum IGF1 with tumor IGF1 and insulin growth factor receptor (IGFR) levels by northern and western analyses.

Experiment 3/ Specific Aim 3: To determine the dose of 17-B estradiol administration critical to tumor engraftment and progression of growth in *scid/scid* mice that have an impaired GH/IGF1 axis and if exogenous 17-B estradiol can futher enhance tumor growth in animals administered optimal concentrations IGF1 and/or rhGH.

The following experiments will be executed throughout the second year of funding:

Experiment 4/Specific Aim 4: (to be carried out in the next planned year of research: To grow primary breast cancer explants in the optimized animal model.

1.4 Background

Development of Animal Models For The Study of Human Breast Cancer: Since the original report by Rygaard and Povlsen (2) that congentially athymic nude (nu/nu) mice supported the growth of a human colon adenocarcinoma following subcutaneous injection, these T cell-deficient animals have been utilized as experimental hosts for a great variety of human neoplasms. However, there has been only limited success in utilizing nu/nu mice as hosts for primary human breast carcinomas. In an extensive study of 262 infiltrating ductal carcinomas, Giovinella et al (3) found that only 6.1% of such primary carcinomas could be grown in nu/nu mice following subcutaneous injection. Moreover, the human breast carcinomas that did grow successfully in

nu/nu mice commonly failed to display metastatic properties (4). It has been suggested that the variability in success of metastatic human tumor growth in nu/nu mice may be due to background modifying genes (5) that may influence the growth of the human tumors or the metastasis of such tumors. Since there has been only limited success in growing human breast tumors in nu/nu mice, preliminary experiments have examined the growth of such tumors in C.B.17 mice homozygous for the severe combined immunodeficiency (scid) mutation. C.B17-scid/scid mice lack T as well as B cells. Initial data are promising since cell line derived human breast carcinomas show increased take rates and grow faster in scid/scid mice than in nu/nu mice (6). However, such studies have been limited to the C.B17-scid/scid mouse. An added benefit to establishing a breast cancer model in this animal is that the scid/scid mouse can have its bone marrow reconstituted with human hematopoietic cells. This feature of the scid/scid mouse would allow this animal model to be used in experiments studying the role of human growth factors and cytokines in supporting or impairing human primary tumor growth and the process of metastasis. Use of non-obese diabetic (NOD) scid/scid mice may prove to be a superior animal for such experimentation due to impaired natural killer (NK) cell activity in addition to impaired B and T cell function.

The Role of Human Growth Hormone In Human Breast Cancer: A variety of growth factors have been identified that are mitogenic for breast cancer cell lines in vitro. The focus of this experimental work is to establish if alterations in the hGH/IGF-1 axis can be made that facilitate the engraftment and subsequent growth of a primary human breast cancer explant in an immunodeficient mouse model. Focus on the hGH/IGF-1 axis in the experimental animals is selected as an area of importance based upon the results of recent experimental results reviewed below. Endocrine glands providing estrogen, progesterone, glucocorticoid, and insulin are prominent regulators of mammary tissue growth. Moreover the protein hormones of the human lactogenic series - pituitary prolactin (PRL) and growth hormone (GH) plus placental lactogen (PL) are of unique importance because of their species specific biological properties (7). GH has been implicated as a growth factor for human breast cancer (8) and it has been shown that rhGH stimulates breast cancer growth through IGF-1 and possibly other growth factors (9). In vitro. insulin growth factor receptor (IGF-R), IGF-1, IGF-2 and insulin have all been shown to be mitogens of MCF-7 breast cancer cells (10). The mechanism of this perturbation is unknown, however, it is known that insulin is capable of altering the cell cycle kinetics of MCF-7 human breast cancer cells by facilitating their transit through the G1 phase of the cell cycle (11). In vitro it has been shown that estrogen and progesterone may alter the growth of breast cancers by regulating the insulin growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP) and thereby change the carcinoma's responsiveness to IGF-1 (12). In human studies, hGH (8) and IGF-I has been shown to be elevated (13) in operable patients with breast cancer in comparison to uneffected control patients and hGH, IGF-1, IGF-2, and IGF-R levels may be indicators of prognosis or response to treatment (14,15). In another study however, experimental results suggested that in postmenapausal women with breast cancer, the plasma sex steroids fail to influence the concentrations of IGF-1 or IGFBP-1 when present in physiologic concentrations (16). Tamoxifen, an estrogen receptor blocking drug widely used in the adjuvant, metastatic and preventitive management of breast cancer has been shown to have a role in the regulation of the GH axis (17). Tamoxifen decreases serum hGH and IGF-I serum levels in treated patients as well as reduced IGF-I in target organs by a mechanism that is pituitary independent (17). These studies all seem to suggest that GH and insulin growth factor(s) may be critical to the establishment of an optimal millieu for the initiation and promotion of breast neoplasia in a patient.

2.0 BODY OF WORK

2.1 METHODS: Specific Aim 1

Specific Aim 1: Determine the amount of rhGH that needs to be administered to the experimental animal to result in (1) early engraftment of palpable tumors and (2) accelerated growth of the tumor in the scid/scid mouse model, and to correlate serum GH and IGF-1 levels with tumor IGF-1 and IGF-R levels by northern and western analyses. Experiments include administration of rhGH both by continuous infusion and by daily administration to mimic the normal circadian rhythm of human growth hormone.

Establishment of MCF7R mouse models

The MCF7R human breast cancer cell line was used in these experiments. MCF7R cells are derived from the parental cell line MCF7. MCF7R cells are rendered resistant to chemotherapeutic drugs due to upregulation of the multiple drug resistant gene 1 (mdr-1 gene) and p-glycoprotein. This cell line was established by gradually forcing MCF7 cells resistant to vincristine. It was a gracious gift from Dr. William Hait, Yale University. The animals models were established by injection of 1 X 10⁶ MCF7R cells suspended in Matrigel (Becton Dickinson) into the mammary fat pad of experimental animals 2 days after the initiation of rhGH administration. Animals were assessed weekly for development of tumor growth. Tumors were measured using Vernier caliper. Tumor volumes at each measurement were calculated using the equation

 $v=\pi r^2 l$

where v is volume, r is the radius of the tumor and l is the length of the tumor.

Selection of experimental animals

Scid/scid mice, scid lit+/- mice, scid/scid lit//lit and TghGH scid/scid mice were used in this experimental aim. NOD scid/scid mice served as true experimental control animals. Scid/scid lit/lit animals are animals that have inability to produce gonadatropin hormone releasing hormone and also have ineffective production of growth hormone. TghGH scid/scid mice are transgenic mice for human growth hormone. Scid lit+/- mice are heterozygotes for the lit/lit mutation. All animals were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor Maine. Dr. Wesley Beamer has developed colonies of TghGH scid/scid mice and scid/scid lit/lit mice in his laboratories. Funding from this research effort has made it possible to obtain animals from Dr. Beamer.

Administration of recombinant human growth hormone

Mice were divided into two experimental treatment groups. In the first group, recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) was administered at the onset of the dark cycle of the room in an attempt to approximate the circadian release of growth hormone in the experimental animals. A second set of animals was treated with continuous infusion of rhGH through Alzet miniosmotic pumps. A dose finding study of rhGH administered to scid/scid lit/lit mice established that a 10ug rhGH injection into the peritoneal cavity of scid/scid lit/lit mice for three consecutive days resulted in serum human growth hormone levels between 1-2.5ng/ml as measured by the Kallestad Quantitope HGH kit (Sanofi Diagnostics, MN). Due to budgetary restraints in this project, the target dose of rhGH of 5ng/ml was financially impossible to achieve.

Group I animals were injected with a daily dose of rhGH of 1.5ug for two weeks and then every other day for the duration of the experiment (12 weeks). Serum IGF-1 levels were determined with IGF1 By Extraction (Nichols Institute, CA) twice during the 12 week experimental period. Group II animals had Alza pumps (model 1002, 0.25ul/hr, 14days) surgically implanted into the subcutaneous tissue on the posterior thorax of the experimental animals and changed every two weeks throughout the duration of the experimental period. The pumps were loaded with 100ul of rhGH, 0.25ug/ml. Serum IGF-1 levels were determined with IGF1 By Extraction (Nichols Institute, CA) twice during the 10 week experimental period.

Northern Analysis for IFG1R

Total RNA as well as mRNA was probed with P³² labelled DNA probes specific for IGF1 and IGFR. Probes for IGF1 and IGFR were made from plasmids containing the sequences of interest obtained from ATCC (ATCC, Maryland). Unfortunately, these studies were unsuccessful due to presumably the very low copy number of IGF1 and IGFR. Alternative strategies were developed for their measurement. See next section please.

RT-PCR Assay for IGF1, IGFR and IGF2

For this assay, tumor RNA was extracted using the Tri Reagent (Sigma, St. Louis). Primer sequences for IGF1, IGFR and IGF2 were constructed as per previously published sequences (25). RT-PCR reactions were optimized to produce optimal amplification of the desired targets.

RNA Protection Assay

From our experience with northern analysis, we hypothesized that the sequences that we wished to detect were present in experimental samples in very low copy number. In order to quantify IGF1, IGFR and IGF2 under these conditions, and to also quantitiate changes in their copy number under our experimental conditions, an RNA protection assay is in the process of being developed. Probes for the protection assay are the nested PCR products obtained from above for IGF1, IGFR, and IGF2. The PCR products are cut from the gel and gel purified. Using the sense primer only, the PCR product is reamplified, this time with incorporation of P^{32} .

The RNA protection assay is currently being optimized for all three probes. The specific procedures are well detailed (26).

2.1 METHODS: Specific Aim II

Specific Aim II: To determine determine the role of IGF-1 in the initiation and/or the progression of primary breast cancer growth in a *scid/scid* mouse model and to correlate serum IGF1 with tumor IGF2 and IGFR levels by northern and western analyses.

Establishment of MCF7R mouse models

The MCF7R human breast cancer cell line was used in these experiments. MCF7R cells are derived from the parental cell line MCF7. MCF7R cells are rendered resistant to chemotherapeutic drugs due to upregulation of the multiple drug resistant gene 1 (mdr-1 gene) and p-glycoprotein. This cell line was established by gradually forcing MCF7 cells resistant to vincristine. It was a gracious gift from Dr. William Hait, Yale University. The animals models were established by injection of 1 X 10⁶ MCF7R cells suspended in Matrigel (Becton Dickinson) into the mammary fat pad of experimental animals 2 days after the initiation of rhGH administration. Animals were assessed weekly for development of tumor growth. Tumors were measured using Vernier caliper. Tumor volumes at each measurement were calculated using the equation

$$v = \pi r^2 l$$

where ν is volume, r is the radius of the tumor and l is the length of the tumor.

Selection of experimental animals

Scid/scid mice, scid lit+/- mice, and scid/scid lit/lit mice were used in this experimental aim. NOD scid/scid mice served as true experimental control animals. Scid/scid lit/lit animals are animals that have inability to produce gonadatropin hormone releasing hormone and also have ineffective production of growth hormone. Because they have decreased production of murine growth hormone, they have ineffective production of murine IGF1 (and likely IGF2). Scid lit+/- mice are heterozygotes for the lit/lit mutation.

Administration of human IGF-1 to experimental animals

Mice were treated with human IGF-1 (Bachem, CA) by continuous infusion via Alza miniosmotic pumps (Alza pump model number 1002). Prior to beginning the experimentation, a dose finding study of IGF-1 in the scid/scid lit/lit was performed. In this experiment it was determined that approximately 2000ng IGF-1 administered daily for three days resulted in a serum

level if IGF1 of 128 ng/ml as measured by *IGF-1 By Extraction Kit* (Nichols Institute Diagnostics ,CA). The anticipated target dose initially planned upon was 200ng/ml. Because of financial restraints a daily delivered dose approximating a serum value of 65 ng/ml was delivered.

Alza pumps (model 1002, 0.25ul/hr, 14days) were surgically implanted into the subcutaneous tissue on the posterior thorax of the experimental animals and changed every two weeks throughout the duration of the experimental period. The pumps were loaded with 100ul of human IGF1, 50ng/ul. Serum IGF-1 levels were determined with *IGFI By Extraction* (Nichols Institute, CA) twice during the 10 week experimental period.

Northern Analysis for IGFR

Please see specific details in Specific Aim I.

RT-PCR Assay for IGF1, IGFR and IGF2

See specific details in Specific Aim I.

RNA Protection Assay

See specific details in Specific Aim I.

2.1 METHODS Specific Aim III

Specific Aim III: To determine the dose of 17-B estradiol administration critical to tumor engraftment and progression of growth in *scid/scid* mice that have an impaired GH/IGF-1 axis and if exogenous 17-B estradiol can futher enhance tumor growth in animals administered optimal concentrations IGF-1 and/or rhGH.

In order to achieve this goal $scid/scid\ lit/lit$ mice treated with rhGH or IGF-1 were further subgrouped to receive 17- β estradiol or a placebo pellet. Estradiol pellets (Innovative Research of America) were implanted into the subcutaneous tissue of the posterior neck with a trochar. Time to the development of a palpable tumor mass and tumor volume was measured with Vernier calipers and measured as described above. IGF-I and IGF-R levels in experimental tumors is determined by northern and western analyses and compared to levels obtained from $scid/scid\ lit/lit$ mice +/- 17- β estradiol not receiving rhGH or IGF-1 supplementation.

Northern Analysis for IFG1R

Please see detailed procedures in Specific Aim I.

RT-PCR Assay for IGF1, IGFR and IGF2

See detailed procedures in Specific Aim I.

RNA Protection Assay

See detailed procedures in Specific Aim I.

2.1 METHODS: Specific Aim IV

Specific Aim 4: To grow primary breast cancer explants in the optimized animal model.

This experimental aim will be explored during Year II of this research project. The goal of this experimental aim is to demonstrate that primary human breast cancer explants can be grown and sustained in the optimized animal model developed in Aims 1-3. Human breast carcinomas are obtained from patients undergoing surgery in the operating suites at the Maine Medical Center and are immediately transferred to the laboratory in Earle's minimal essential medium (MEM) for processing. Samples from each tumor are retained for routine pathologic analysis at Maine Medical Center. In addition, specific notation is made of primary tumor size, nuclear grade, axillary lymph node status, the presence or absence of estrogen and progesterone receptors, ploidy and S-phase analysis (this information is readily available after routine

pathologic analysis of the tumor at Maine Medical Center). The tumor is dissected free of necrotic tissue and 2 X 2 mm tumor chunks are cut with a clean scalpel. Experimental animals are anesthetized with 600 ul intraperitoneal injection of Avertin (1.6 gm tribromoethanol/ml tetriary amyl alcohol in 80 ml sterile saline). Under sterile conditions, an incision is made in the skin of the chest wall. A tumor chunk is carefully placed in the region of the mammary fat pad. The incision is closed with Clay Adams staples. One week after surgery staples are removed. Animals are checked twice weekly for any evidence of primary tumor engraftmentment and growth. Tumor measurements and tumor volumes will be scored as described in Specific Aim I.

2.2 RESULTS

Specific Aims IA and III: Growth of MCF7R cells in *scid/scid* mice with or without bolus rhGH and $17~\beta$ estradiol.

Table 1: Tumor measurements in NOD *scid/scid* mice exposed to bolus rhGH and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure I: The effect of bolus rhGH and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in NOD *scid/scid* mice

Table II: Tumor measurements in TghGH scid/scid mice

Figure II: MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in TghGH scid/scid mice

Table III: Tumor measurements in *scid/scid lit/lit* mice exposed to bolus rhGH and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure III: The effect of bolus rhGH and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in *scid/scid lit/lit* mice

Table IV: Tumor measurements in *scid/scid lit+/-* mice exposed to bolus rhGH and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure IV: The effect of bolus rhGH and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in *scid/scid lit+/-* mice

These Tables and Figures are posted and the end of the References section.

Specific Aims 1B and III: Growth of MCF7R cells in *scid/scid* mice with or without continuous infusion rhGH and 17-β estradiol.

Table V: Tumor measurements in NOD scid/scid mice exposed to continuous infusion rhGH and/or 17- β estradiol

Figure V: The effect of continuous infusion rhGH and 17-β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in NOD *scid/scid* mice

Table VII: Tumor measurements in *scid/scid lit/lit* mice mice exposed to continuous infusion rhGH and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure VII: The effect of continuous infusion rhGH and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in *scid/scid lit/lit* mice

Table VIII: Tumor measurements *in scid/scid lit+/-* mice mice exposed to continuous infusion rhGH and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure VIII: The effect of continuous infusion rhGH and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in *scid/scid lit+/-* mice

These Tables and Figures are posted and the end of the References section. Please note that there is no Table VI. This is intentional. Thank-you.

Specific Aims 1I and III: Growth of MCF7R cells in *scid/scid* mice with or without continuous infusion human IGF1 and 17-β estradiol.

Table 1X: Tumor measurements in NOD *scid/scid* mice mice exposed to continuous infusion human IGF1 and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure IX: The effect of continuous infusion human IGF1 and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in NOD scid/scid mice

Table X: Tumor measurements in *scid/scid lit/lit* mice exposed to continuous infusion human IGF1 and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure X: The effect of continuous infusion human IGF1 and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in *scid/scid lit/lit* mice

Table XI: Tumor measurements in *scid/scid* lit+/- mice exposed to continuous infusion human IGF1 and/or 17-β estradiol

Figure XI: The effect of continuous infusion human IGF1 and 17- β estradiol on MCF7R tumor cell engraftment and growth in *scid/scid lit+/-* mice

These Tables and Figures are posted and the end of the References section.

Figure XII: Nested RT-PCR assay for IGF-1 demonstrating amplification of IGF1R from MCF7R tumor cells

Figure XIII: Nested RT-PCR assay for IGF-1 demonstrating amplification of IGF2 from MCF7R tumor cells

Figure XV: Initial attempt to develop and RNA protection assay for IGF1R

2.3 DISCUSSION

Bolus rhGH administration and MCF7Rtumor cell growth in vivo: In evaluation of the tumor growth curves displayed in Figures I-IV, 17- β estradiol alone is most efficient in stimulating in vivo tumor cell engraftment and growth. When rhGH is given in bolus fashion, it appears to inhibit some of the growth stimulatory effects of 17- β estradiol. This is evident most significantly at 5-9 weeks into this study. These observations suggests that rhGH may be stimulating not only the release of growth stimulatory proteins such as IGF1, but a substance(s) that is growth inhibitory.

When rhGH is given alone to animals, there is some growth advantage over control animals. This could be due to IGF1 induction or induction of another growth stimulatory protein. It however, can not stimulate MCF7R growth as efficiently as 17- β estradiol alone.

In animals that are transgenic for human growth hormone, the average tumor volumes at any given time-point are larger than in NOD scid/scid. This becomes most

apparent after approximately 8-9 weeks post tumor cell injection. The increase in average tumor volumes may in fact be directly attributable to the presence of growth hormone or more likely other factors. If this was attributable to growth hormone alone, one would expect NOD scid/scid animals supplemented with rhGH to have similar tumor volumes. What is striking in TghGH scid/scid mice is that animals supplemented with 17- β estradiol have significantly increased tumor growth in comparison to TghGH scid/scids not supplemented with 17- β estradiol. This once again suggests that in this animals model, 17- β estradiol is the more important growth factor involved in tumor engraftment and progression.

In *lit/lit* mice there is lack of endogenous growth hormone releasing hormone (ghrh) therefore little if any endogenous murine growth hormone is synthesized in these animals. The full effect of human growth hormone in the xenograft model should be observed in this animal model. The first observation that is made in this set of experiments is that average tumor volume on any specific week of experimentation is smaller in *lit/lit* animals than in any of the other experimental animals. Significant tumor formation did not occur until week number 8 (contrasted to week 6 in NOD *scid/scid* mice). As in NOD *scid/scid* mice, the animals supplemented with 17- β estradiol only resulted in best MCF7R tumor cell engraftment. With no murine IGF1 available in this experimental animal, this suggests that estrogen alone resulted in the upregulation of tumor-made peptides that resulted in cellular proliferation. Again in this model, the supplementation of rhGH to the experimental animals resulted in the blunting of cellular proliferation induced by 17- β estradiol. Human growth hormone supplemented animals had a modest increase in tumor growth but the statitical significance of this is questionable.

Continuous infusion rhGH administration and MCF7R tumor cell growth in vivo: Data displayed in Figures V-VIII documents the growth of MCF7R breast cancers in immunodeficient scid/scid mice exposed to rhGH administered by continuous infusion. The growth hormone was administered through an alza miniosmotic pump placed in the subcutaneous tissue of the mouse. It appears that continuous infusion of rhGH results in no signtificant alteration of tumor growth in these animal models in comparison to animals treated in the bolus fashion. Molecular studies that are currently pending will further elucidate if significant changes in IGF1, IGF2 and IGFR occurred amongst the various treatment groups.

Continuous infusion human IGF-1 administration and MCF7Rtumor cell growth in vivo: In all three types of experimental scid/scid mice, the exogenous administration of human IGF-1 resulted in (1) the development of a primary tumor earlier than in control animals and (2) increased and sustained tumor growth over time until the experiment was concluded at 9 weeks post tumor cell injections. The addition of estrogen to animals receiving IGF1 did not appear to further enhance tumor cell engraftment and growth over IGF1 alone. Clearly, in-vitro observations that have been made by others that identify IGF1 as a mitogen and growth stimulatory protein are evident in vivo in these experiements.

RT-PCR assays for IGF1, IGF2 and IGFR: IGF2 and IGFR have been successfully amplified from all tumor tissues studied thus far in experimental Aim I (Figure XII and XIII). Tissues from Aim II and III are awaiting processing and will be studied during this next funding period. For IGF2 and IGFR there appear to be no gross differences in the presence of the growth factor and receptor when animals were exposed to estrogen, rhGH or a combination of the two. For discreet measurements of IGF2 and IGFR levels under the various experimental conditions, the RNA protection assay is in the process of being optimized (Figure XIV). The original plan was to achieve these quantitations through northern or western analysis, however, at least in the IGFR situation, the copy number is too low for detection by northern analysis.

IGF1 thus far has not been successfully amplified from MCF7R control cells from tissue culture or any of the tumor explants studied. This has been documented by others for the MCF7 cell line (27). We will continue to look for IGF1 expression in tumors exposed to the growth factors under investigation in this work, however, based on current results, it is unlikely present or present only in ver low copy number. Whether any of the experimental conditions evaluated in this study have the capacity to alter IGF1 tumor expression will be determined as more tumor specimens are studied.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

In experiments performed to date, it is questionable as to whether rhGH alone or in conjunction with estrogen has a significant role in the primary development of breast cancer in an animal model or the progression of tumor growth in the animal model. The addition to growth hormone may actually be semi-inhibitory to growth of tumors dependent upon estrogen for growth and maintenance. Molecular studies measuring IGF1, IGF2, and IGFR expression in animals treated with rhGH either by daily bolus or continuous infusion are currently in progress in this laboratory. From these experiments, more may be gleaned about rhGH and its role in the regulation and expression of the tumor IGF1, IGF2 and IGFR.

The administration of human IGF1 to animals injected with MCF7R tumor cells clearly enhances not only the time to development of a palpable primary tumor but also has a role in sustaining tumor growth and size over and above what has been achievable with estrogen alone. Clearly from the *in vivo* data presented here, the presence of human IGF1 may be critical to the successful development of breast cancer xenograft models. The effect of human IGF1 on tumor IGF1, IGF2 and IGFR is currently under evaluation in this laboratory on tumor specimens obtained from the experimental animals. Hopefully these studies will further elucidate IGF1's importance as a growth factor in these animal models.

Over the next year in this laboratory, primary tumors from patients under care at Maine Medical Center, will be place into the *scid/scid* mouse model and supplemented with IGF1 to establish if our preliminary results can be applied to the development of new xenograft models.

The initial statement of work presented to the army for completion of this work is displayed in the appendices to this document. The work will be completed as planned. There have been some delays to quantification of tumor growth factors due to the fact that northern analyses proved to be incapable of accurate quantifications for IGFR.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wingo PA, Tong T, Bolden S. Cancer Statistics, 1995. CA Cancer J Clin 45:8-30.
- Rygaard, J. and C.O. Povlsen 1969 Heterotransplantation of a human malignant tumour to "nude" mice. Acta path microbiol scand, 77: 758-760.
- 2. Giovanella, B.C., et al. 1989. Poor prognosis of breast cancer patients whose tumor took in nude mice. Correlation with amplification and overexpression of the Her-1/neu oncogene. Proc Amer Assn Cancer Res, 30: 60.
- 4. Giovanella, B.C. and J. Foght. 1985. The nude mouse in cancer research. *Adv Cancer Res*, 44: p. 69-120.
- 5. Maruo, K., et al..1982. Strain-dependent growth of a human carcinoma in nude mice with different genetic background. *Expl Cell Biol* 50:115-119.
- 6. Dagnaes, F. and G. Poulsen 1992. Growth patterns of human breast cancer xenograft T 60 in different strains of nude mice and in scid mice. *Contrib Oncol*, 42:131-134.
- 7. Wilhemi, A.E., 1974. Chemistry of growth hormone, in Endocrinology, W.H.S. E. Knobil, Editor. Williams & Wilkins Co.: Baltimore. p.59-78.
- 8. Emerman, J.T., M. Leahy, P.W. Gout, and N. Bruchovsky. 1985. Elevated growth hormone levels in the sera from breast cancer patients. *Horm. Metab. Res.* 17:421-4.
- 9. Conte, P.F., G./ Gardin, A. Alama, A. Nicolin, and R. Rosso. 1989. In vivo tumor growth expansion induced by diethylstilbesterol (DES) and recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) in advanced breast cancer: Experimental data and clinical implication (meeting abstract). In: *Joint NCI-IST Symposium. Third IST InternationalSymposium. Biology and Therapy of Breast Cancer.* September 24-27, 1989, Genoa, Italy, A36.
 - Pollak, M. 1993. Adjuvant Tamoxifen therapy induces major changes in growth hormone and IGF-I physiology. 1993. In: 7th International Conference on the Adjuvant Therapy of Cancer. March 10-13, Tuscon, Arizona 1993:36.
- 11. Gross, G.E., D.H. Boldt, and C.K. Osborne. 1984. Perturbation by insulin of human breast cancer cell cycle kinetics. *Cancer Res.* 44:3570-5.
- 12. Owens, P.C., P.G. Gill, N.J. DeYoung et al. 1993. Estrogen and progesterone regulate secretion of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins by human breast cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 193(2):467-473.
- 13. Barni, S., P.Lissoni, F. Brivio, L. Fumagalli, D. Merlini, M. Cataldo, F. Rovelli, and G. Tancini. 1994. Serum levels of insulin-like growth factor I in operable breast cancer in relation to the main prognostic variables and their perioperative changes in relation to prolactin. *Tumori* 80:212-5.
- 13. Berns, E.M., J.G. Klijn, I.L. van Staveren, H. Portengen, and J.A. Foekens. 1992. Sporadic amplification of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene in human breast tumors. *Cancer Res.* **52**:1036-9.
- Papa, V., R. Gliozzo, G.M. Clark, W.L. McGuire, D. Moore, Y. Fujita-Yamaguchi, R. Vigneri,
 I.D. Goldfine, and V. Pezzino. 1993. Insulin-like growth factor I receptors are overexpressed and
 predict a low risk in human breast cancer. Cancer Res. 53:3736-40.

- 16. Lonning, P.E., S.I. Helle, D.C. Johannessen, et al. 1995. Relations between sex hormones, sex binding globulin, insulin-like growth factor-1 and insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 in postmenapausal breast cancer patients. *Clin Endocrinol* 42(1):23-30.
- 17. Pollak, M., T.J. Powles, M. Baum, and N. Sacks. 1993. Tamoxifen used as a chemopreventive agent lowers serum IGF-I levels. In: Proc. Annu. Meet. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 34:A1518.
- 18. Malarkey, W.B., et al. 1983. Physiological concentrations of prolactin can promote the growth of human breast tumor cells in culture. *Endocrinology*, **56**: 673-677.
- 19. Beamer, W.G., et al.. 1993. Granulosa cell tumorigenesis in genetically hypogonadal-immunodeficient mice grafted with ovaries from tumor susceptible donors. *Cancer Res.* 53: p. in press, Aug 15.
- 20. Godfrey, P., et al.. 1993. GHRH receptor of little mice contains a missense mutation in the extracellular domain that disrupts receptor function. *Nature Genetics*, 4:227-232.
- 21. Donahue, L.R. and W.G. Beamer. 1993. Growth hormone deficiency in 'little' mice results in aberrant body composition, reduced insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and insulin like growth factor binding protein-3(IGFBP-3), but does not affect IGFBP-2, -1, or -4. J. *Endocrinol.*, 136: 91-104.
- 22. Mehta, R.R., J.M. Graves, G.D. Hart, A. Shikaitis, and T.K. Das Gupta. 1993. Growth and metastasis of human breast carcinomas with Matrigel in athymic mice. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 25:65-71.
- 23. personel communication to Dr. Beamer from M. Pollak.
- 24. Bala, R.M. and B. Bhaumick. 1979. Radioimmunoassay of a basic somatomedin:comparison of various techniques and somatamedin levels in various sera. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **49**:770-777.
- Lighten, A.D., K. hardy, R. Winston, and G.E. Moore. 1997. Expression of mRNA for the Insulinlike growth factors and their receptors in human preimplantation embryos. *Mol. Repro. Devel.* 4:134-139.
- 26. Ambion, Multi-NPA RNA/DNA/Oligo Probe Protection Assay Kit. Catalog 1428.
- Quinn, K.A., A.M. Treston, E.J. Unsworth, et al. 1996. Insulin-like growth factor expression in human cancer cell lines. J. Biol. Chem. 271:11477-11483.

TABLE I: Tumor measurements in NOD scid/scid mice exposed to bolus rhGH and or 17 beta estradiol

Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF-7R cells injected in mammary fat pad on 2/5/98

	7		169	50.2	6.3	25.2	86	69.74	58.38053	7	401.9	250	904.3	141.3	282	395.9	298.9932	7		401	1326	942		889.6667	464.7153	7	785	1017.3	628	854	1044	865.66	171 7004
	10		50.2	6.3	86	21.9	23	39.68	32.4186	10	307.7	307.7	269	224	282	278.08	34.55846	9		169	502	572		163.5556	215.3284	10	785	206	401	508	785	637	173,8577
	0		141	21.9	98.1	21.9	6.3	57.84	58.68601	O	169	215.8	381	113	169	209.56	102.524	0		346	346	230		307	66.97263	O	346	628	251	6.3	445	280.8	245.7128
	αο		58.9	9.4	14.1	6.3	0.78	17.896	23.42725	∞	6.3	78.5	200.9	98.1	29.4	82.64	75.67013	Φ	115	351.7	9.4	78.5		138.65	148.6306	ω	307.7	445	197	6.3	445	280.2	185.0796
	7		6.3	6.3	6.3	9.4	0.78	5.816	3.118859	7	6.3	25.1	98.1	50.2	50.2	45.98	34.50575	7	98.1	197	98.1	78.5		117.925	53.52024	7	169	251.2	86	0.78	572	218.196	218.1763
	ဖ		0.78	0	6.3	0.78	0.78	1.728	2.578046	ဖ	0.78	6.3	153.8	153.8	6.3	64.196	81.82792	9	0.78	169.6	98.1	0.78		67.315	82.18627	ဖ	269	471	78.5	0.78	549	273.656	238.3945
	ις		0.78	0.78	0.09	0.78	0.09	0.504	0.377929	ĸ	0.78	0.78	0.78	6.3	6.6	3.048	3.107398	3	6.3	0.78	6.3	0		3.345	3.426967	ß	169	200.9	141.3	0.78	113	124.996	76.70904
	4		0.78	0	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.21	0.321014	4	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0	4	6.3	0.78	6.3	0.78		3.54	3.186973	4	98.1	56.5	6.3	0.78	50.2	42.376	39.99241
volume in mm ^{A3}	က		0.0	0	0.0	0.09	0.09	0.072	0.040249	ო	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.0	0.09	0.0	0	က	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.0	0	0	က	6.3	6.3	0.00	0	6.3	3.798	3.426152
<u>_</u>			0	0			0		0	8	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0	0	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0	0	7	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0
Average tumo	_		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	6.3	0	0	0	0	1.26	2.817446
		ormone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ear	Animal number	no estrogen, no growth hormone	0	-	7	က	4	mor Volum		, plus grov	0	-	2	ო	4	mor Volum		en, plus gr		_	7	ო	4	mor Volum		en, no grov	0		7	ო	4	mor Volum	
Animal	An	no estroger	NOD A	NOB B	NOD C	OON D	NOD E	Average tumor	S	no estrogen, plus grov	NOD F	NOD G	NOD H	OON	NOD J	Average tumor	SD	plus estrogen,	NOD K	NOD L	M GON	NOON	NOD O	Average tumor	SD	plus estrogen,	NOD P	O OON	NOD R	S GON	NOD T	Average tumor Volum	SD

FIGURE I: Effect of bolus rhGH and 17 beta estradiol on MCF-7 growth in NOD scid/scid mice




TABLE II: Tumor measurements in TghGH scid/scid mice

Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF7R cells injected into the mammary fat pad on 7.15.98 7/15/98 7/22/98 8/6/98 8/14/98	injected into t 7/15/98	the mammai 7/22/98	y fat pad o 8/6/98	n 7.15.98 8/14/98	8/19/98	8/25/98	9/1/98	9/10/98	9/16/98	9/23/98	10/1/98
week											
Animal	0	-	7	ო	4	ß	ဖ	7	∞	6	9
No estrogen											
TghGH scid A	0	palp	4 X3	4X5	6X5	9X2	6X6	9X6	11X11	8X7	8X8
TghGH scid B	0	0	0	palp	palp	palp	palp	palp	palp	3X3	5X5
TghGH scid C	0	0	0	0	0	palp	balb	dead	dead	dead	dead
Plus estrogen	,										
TghGH soid A	0	0	4X3	5X5	4X4	5X5	7X7	8X7	13X13	13X13	13X13
TahGHscid B	0	0	5X4	6X5	5X5	7X6	8X8	7X7	7X12	10X12	15X10
TghGH scid C	0	0	0	palp	palp	palp	7X7	10X5	15X10	15X10	dead
TghGHscid D	0	0	2X2	3X2	3 X4	6X6	6X6	dead	dead	dead	dead
Animal											
No estrogen											
TghGH scid A	0	0.78	37.7	31.4	141	317	572	381	1044	351	401
TghGH scid B	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	21.2	86
TghGH scid C	0	0	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	dead	dead	dead	dead
Average tumor volume	0	0.26	12.56667	10.72667	47.26	106.1867	191.1867	190.89	522.39	186.1	249.5
Standard deviation		0.450333	21.76611	17.90788	81.18216	140.5422	253.8756	190.11	521.61	164.9	151.5
Plus estrogen											
TghGH scid A	0	0	37.7	98.1	50.2	98.1	269	351	1724	1724	1724
TghGHscid B	0	0	78.5	141	98.1	230	401.9	269	461	942	1766
TghGH scid C	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	85.8	392	1766	1766	dead
TghGHscid D	0	0	6.3	35.3	28.2	572	572	dead	dead	dead	dead
Average tumor volume	0	0	30.625	68.795	44.32	225.22	332.175	337.3333	1317	1477.333	1745
standard deviation			35.92431	62.77022	41.16005	175.78	154.775	45.55556	270.6667	356.8889	7

FIGURE II: MCF7R tumor growth in TghGH scid/scid mice




TABLE III: Tumor measurements in scid/scid lit/lit mice exposed to bolus rhGH and/or 17 beta estradiol

Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF-7R cells injected in mammary fat pad on 2/5/98

	=		157	317	0.78	678	307	291.956	251.4359		169.6	78.5	230.8	98.1	307	176.8	94.57333			346.2	141.3	269.2	307.7	266.1	88.94047		351.7	85.8	169.6	351.7	6.3	193.02	155.9388
	10		141	78.5	0.78	117.7	12.5					141.3				~					117.7						301.4	85.8	169.6	301.4	0.78		132.5151
	6		58	0.78	0	86	86	50.956	48.96437		137	78.5	78.5	230.8	78.5	120.66	66.57742			254.3	87.9	50.2	98.1	122.625	90.16834		301.4	230.8	0	351.8	50.2	186.84	154.7966
	ω		0.78	0.09	0	141.3	98.1		67.16394							ın				137	0.78	6.3 8.3	78.5	55.645	64.77161		192.3	117.7	0	200.9	169.6	136.1	82.67633
	7		0.78	0.78	0	6.3	98.1	21.192	43.06684		9.4	62.8	0.09	0.78	0.78	14.77	27.12315		0.78	98.1		9.4		_			9.4	37.6	0	230.8	0.78	55.716	99.05807
	φ		21.2	0	0	0.78	0.78	4.552	9.314683		0.78	0.78	25.1	0.78	0.78	5.644	10.87623		0.78	6.3		0.78	37.6	11.365	17.68251		0.78	37.6	0	0.78	0.78	7.988	16.55706
	S		6.3	0	21.2	0	0.78	5.656	9.080015		0.78	0.78	0	0.78	0	0.468	0.427224		0.78	6.3		0.78	25.1	8.24	11.53728		0	37.6	0	0.78	0	7.676	16.73143
	4		6.3	0	0.78	0	0.78	1.572	2.671651		0.78	0.78	0	0	0	0.312	0.427224		0.78	0.78		0.78	0.78	0.078	0		0.78	37.6	0.78	0.78	0	7.988	16.55706
	ო		6.3	0	0	0	0.78	1.416	2.751051		0.09	0.09	0	0	0	0.036	0.049295		0	0.78		0.78	14.1	3.915	6.799949		0.09	25.1	21.2	0.09		9.296	
	-		0	0	0			0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0						0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tumor eize in	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.0	0.018	0.040249		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
, F	0	one	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	mone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ormone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	mone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Č	Animal number	no estrogen, no growth hormone	0	•	7	က	4	Average tumor Volume		no estrogen, plus growth hormone	0	•	2	က	4	Average tumor Volume		plus estrogen, plus growth hormone	0	_		2	က	Average tumor Volume		plus estrogen, no growth hormone	0	-	2	က	4	Average tumor Volume	
Cair	Š	no estro	LITA	LITB		LITD	LITE	Average	S	no estro	LIF	LITG	LTH	<u>П</u>	LITJ	Average	SO	plus estr	LT X	LiIT	ΣLI	ZLI	LITO	Average	SD	plus esti	LITP	ΩLIT	LITR	LITS	LTT	Average	SD

FIGURE III: The effect of bolus rhGH and 17 beta estradiol on MCF-7 tumor cell engraftment in scid/scid lit/lit mice




TABLE IV: Tumor measurements in scid/scid lit+/- mice exposed to bolus rhGH and/or 17 beta estradiol

Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF-7R cells injected in mammary fat pad on 2/5/98

=		452	904	269	6.3	98.1	351	346.7333	317.9312		785	21.2	549	98.1	301	0.09	292.3983	317.9054			904	445	98.1	452	474.775	330.4156		401	98.1	21.2	269	197.325	170.7693
6		226	904	169	6.3	98.1	269	278.7333	320.2261		301	6.3	200.96	200.9	301	0.09	168.375	135.563			471	98.1	200.9	401.9	292.975	173.2163					230		
တ		62.8	35.3	58.9	21.2	0.78	0.78	29.96	27.29171		21.2	78.5	169.6	192.3	192.3	0.09	108.9983	87.23602			381	251.2	169.6	269	267.7	87.05255						291.575	•
∞		78.5	28.3	21.2	9.4	0.0	0.78	23.045	29.37728		9.4	9.4	251.2	113	78.5	0.09	76.93167	96.64215		317.9		141.3	98.1	381.5	234.7	136.4497		0.78	192.3	98.1	141.3	108.12	81.25979
7		21.2	6.3	6.3	6.3	0	0.78	6.813333	7.623508		0.078	6.3	35.3	0.78	0	6.3	8.126333	13.63716		192.3		169.6	153.9	169.6	171.35	15.80643		0.78	98.1	62.8	9.4	42.77	45.
ω		6.3	6.3	6.3	0	6.3	0	4.2	4.2		6.3	6.3	0.78	0.78	9.4	0	3.926667	3.910103		200.9		78.5	141.3	230.8	162.875	67.43967		78.5	98.1	113	9.4	74.75	45.80018
ĸ		0	0	0.78	0	0	0	0.13	0.318434		0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	6.3	0	1.57	2.338127		62.8 48.98.1		39.2	0.78	0.78	13.58667	22.1818		0	0.78	0.78	0	0.39	0.450333
4		0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0	0	0.39	0.427224		0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0	0.65	0.290689		62.8		78.5	0.78	6.3	37.095	39.33714		0.78	0.78	6.3	0.78	2.16	2.76
<u>რ</u>		0	0.0	0.09	0.09	0	0	0.045	0.049295		0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0	0.075	0.036742		21.2	0.0	14.1	0.09	0.09	7.114	9.940188		0.0	0.09	6.3	0.09	1.6425	3.105
mes in mm^3 2		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.09	0	0	0	0	0.03	0.046476	<u>e</u>		0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	1.952	4.163559			0.09	0	0	2.2725	4.485201
Tumor volun	hormone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	th hormone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	wth hormor	0.09	0.09	0	0	0	0.036	0.049295	no growth hormone	0	0	0	0	0	0
· 0	, no growth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, plus grow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	in, plus gro	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	in, no grow	0	0	0	0	0	0
Animal	no estrogen, no growth hormone	LIT +/- A	LIT +/- B	LIT +/- C	LIT +/- D	LIT +/- E	LIT +/- Z	Average tu	SD	no estrogen, plus growth hormone	LIT +/- F	LIT +/ G	LIT +' H	LIT +/- I	LIT +/- J	LIT +/- Y	Average tu	SD	plus estrogen, plus growth hormon	LIT + K	LIT +/- L	LIT +/- M	LIT +/ N	LIT +/- O	Average tu	SD	plus estrogen,	LIT +/- P	LIT + Q	LIT +/- R	LIT +/- S	Average tu	SD

FIGURE IV: The effect of rhGH and 17 beta estradiol on MCF-7 R tumor cell engraftment in lit +/- scid/scid mice




TABLE V: Tumor measurements in NOD scid/scid mice exposed to continuous infusion rhGH and/or 17 beta estradiol

Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF-7 R cells injected in mamma 28-Apr 5-May	-7 R cells ir 28-Apr	njected in mamm: · 5-May	ary fat pad 4/17/98 12-May 21-N	4/17/98 21-May	28-May	2-Jun	6/10/98	6/17/98	6/24/98	7/1/98	7/7/98
Animal	Weeks post injection	st injection			•						
no estrogen, no GH	_	7	က	4	2	ဖ	7	œ	o	10	7
NODA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.78	6.28	86	98
NODB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.28	50.24	230	381.5
NODC	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	21.2	98.1	230	445
NOD C2	0	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	78.5	98.1	635	452
Average Tumor Volume	0	0	0	0.195	0.39	0.43	0.43	26.69	63.18	168.375	344.125
Standard Deviation	0	0	0	0.39	0.45033321	0.450333	0.450333	35.60109	44.13565	232.9641	167.1199
no estrogen, plus GH											
NOD D	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	37.7	37.6	346	423
NODE	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	153.8	6.5	137.4	169	226	137
NOD F	0	0	0	0	0.78	98.1	0.78	98.1	78.5	452	572
NOD G	0	0	0	0							
Average Tumor Volume	0	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.78	84.22667	2.686667	91.06667	95.03333	341.3333	377,3333
Standard Deviation	0	0.45033321	0.39	0.450333	1.49012E-08	77.44761	3.302444	50.22075	67.24212	113.0722	221.0664
plus estrogen, plus GH											
NODH	0	0.78	6.3	6.3	98.1	197	169	141.3	214	282	282
NOD	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	6.3	98.1	6.3	35.3	392	628	942
NOD 7	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	141.3	0.78	62.8	452	635	1017
Average tumor Volume	0	0.78	2.62	2.62	35.06	145.4667	58.69333	79.8	352.6667	515	747
Standard Deviation	0	1.49012E-08	3.186973	3.186973	54.66396253	49.58148	95.56824	55.00682	123.7794	201.8143	404.4441
plus estrogen, no GH											
NOD K	0	0	0.78	0.78	21.9	78.5	117.7	197.8	549	1031	863
NOD L	0	0	0.78	0.78	50.9	192.3	226	226	182	502	863
NOD M	0	0	0	31.9	21.9	78.5	153	381.5	445	502	785
NODN	0	0	0	50.9	113	192.3	169	307	351	269	452
Average tumor volume	0	0	0.39	21.09	51.925	135.4	166.425	278.075	381.75	576	740.75
Standard Deviation	0	0	0.450333	24.70145	42.95038805	65.70246	45.13006	83.0447	155.7977	322.6071	195.9802

Effect of continuous infusion rhGH and 17 beta estradiol on MCF-7R growth in NOD scid mice

FIGURE V:




TABLE VII: Tumor measurement in scid/scid lit/lit mice exposed to continuous infusion rhGH and/or 17 beta estradiol

ICF-7 R	cells injec 28-Apr	Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF-7 R cells injected in mami 28-Apr 5-May	nmary fat p 12-May	mary fat pad 4/17/98 12-May 21-May	28-May	2-Jun	6/10/98	6/17/98	6/24/98	7/1/98	86/1//
Weeks post injection	īje	ction									
_		7	ო		လ	ဖ	7	ထ	တ	9	7
0		_	0		0	0	0.09	0.78	6.28	169	86
0		0			0.78	0.78	0.78	9.6	98.1	62.8	86
0		0			0.78	0.78	0.78	25.1	21	21	21
		0	0		6.3	6.3	6.3	37.7	27	230	27
0		0			1.965	1.965	1.9875	18.245	36.595	98.56	49.8
0		0		0.450333	2.913297	2.913297	2.893341	16.41898	41.58635	96.66369	44.18937
0	0	0.78	0.78	50.2	40.9	98.1	137.4	226	113		269
	o.	0.78	0.78	50.2	28.5	98.1	113	269	230		401
	Ö	0.78	0.78	21.9	21.9	78.5	98.1	251	141		269
0	o.	0.78	0.78	21.9	6.3	21.9	78.5	169.6	197		502
0	Ŭ) 0	0	6.3	6.3	0.78	78.5	230.8	502		401
0.6	0.6	0.624	0.624	30.1	20.78	59.476	101.1	229.28	236.6	451.6	368.4
0 0.348827	348		0.348827		14.87454	45.28442	24.94905	37.49736	155.2942	277	99.66845
0 0.78	0.7	œ		6.3	21.2	197	197	269			384
0 21.9	2	o آ	0.09	0	0.78	37	78.5	197	230	269	226
0 6.3	Ó	က		0.78	21.9	0.78	9.4	28			58
99.6	9.0	စ္တ		2.36	14.62667	78.26	94.96667	164.6667			222.6667
0 10.95357	10.95			3.434356	11.99667	104.4144	94.87783	123.7107			163.0256
0.0	0	82	0.78	6.3	21.9	6.3	6.3	137.4	192		653
0	J	_	0.78	6.3	21.9	98.1	98.1	141.3	230.7		502
		0	0.78	6.3	21.9	98.1	117	195	141		384
		0	0.78	50.9	37.7	98.1	169	269	269	226	86
	ò	0.195	0.78	17.45	25.85	75.15	97.6	185.675	208.175		409.25
0	o	0.39	0	22.3	7.9	45.9	67.84851	61.45358	54.71492		234.8977

The effect of continuous infusion rhGH and 17 beta estrodiol on MCF-7R growth in scid/scid lit/lit mice

FIGURE VII:




TABLE VIII: Tumor measurements in scid/scid lit \pm /- mice exposed to continuous infusion rhGH and/or 17 beta estradiol

Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF-7 R cells injected in m	R cells	injected in m	E ,	pad 4/17/98		-	9			1	!	
	Z8-Apr	r 5-May	12-May	Z1-May	28-May	Z-Jun	96/01/9	9/1//98	6/24/98	1/1/98	86///	
Animal	Veeks po	Weeks post injection										
no estrogen, no GH	-	7	ო	4	£	ဖ	7	ω	တ	5	=	
lit +/- A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
lit +/- B	0	0	0	0	0	0.78	0	6.3		269	401	
〒+/- C	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	6.3		137	215	
玩 +/- D	0	0	0	0.78	6.3	0.78	6.3	37.7		169	197	
average tumor volume	0	0	0	0.39	1.77	0.585	1.77	12.575	77.9	191.6667	27.1	
Standard deviation	0	0	0	0.450333	3.042302	0.39	3.042302	17.01125	34.81422	68.85734	112.9425	
no estrogen, plus GH												
lit +/- E	0	0.78	0.78	25.1	25.1	98.1	6.3	230.8	192	452	502	
lit +/- F	0	0	0	78.5	6.7	141	98.1	113	86	269	445	
it +/- G	0	0	0	0.78								
average tumor volume	0	0.26	0.26	34.79333	15.9	119.55	52.2	171.9	145	360.5	473.5	
Standard deviation	0	0.450333	0.450333	39.75638	13.01076	30,33488	64.9124	83.29718	66.46804	129.4005	40.30509	
plus estrogen, plus GH	,											
it +/- H	0	0.78	0.78	21.9	21.9	141.3	98.1	137.4	254	863	653	
lit +/- I	0	0.78	0.78	21.9	21.9	98.1	98.1	230.8	401	669	669	
14-/- 1	0	0	0.78	21.9	0.78	98.1	78.5	269.3	384	863	1020.5	
☆ 1/- K	0	0	0.78	6.3								
average tumor volume	0	0.39	0.78	8	14.86	112.5	91.56667	212.5	346.3333	808.3333	790.8333	
Standard deviation	0	0.450333	0	7.8	12.19364	24.94153	11.31607	67.8275	80,41351	94.68544	200.2226	
plus estrogen, no GH												
lit +/- L	0	0	0.78	6.3	21.9	98.1	137	346	552	502	635	
lit +/- M	0	0	0.78	6.3	49.9	197.8	166	254	502	942	942	
N-/- N	0	0	0.78	21.9	78.5	192.3	169	401	346	785	942	
lit +/- O	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0	0	
average tumor volume	0	0	0.585	8.82	37.77	122.245	118.195	250.445	350.195	557.25	629.75	
Standard deviation		0	0.39	9.099978	33.79441	93.01073	79.5956	177.1467	248.9166	413.716	444.0769	

 162.7333
 157.3333
 333.6667
 466.6667
 743
 839.6657

 56.04162
 17.67295
 74.27202
 107.4492
 222.9865
 177.2465

FIGURE VIII:

The effect of continuous infusion rhGH and 17 betas estradiol on MCF-7R growth in scid lit +/-




TABLE IX: Tumor Measurements in NOD scid/scid mice exposed to continuous infusion IGF1 and/or

Tumor cells 2 X 10^5 MCF-7R cells injected into the mammary fat pad on 7/29/98

10/1/98 9 5x5 6x5 7x7 9x7 8x8	10X10 13 X10 9X13 13X10 10X6	11X10 12X11 10X10 10X10 dead	98 141 269 445 401 270.8	785 1326 826 1326 471 946.8	949 1243 785 785 940.5 155.5
9/23/98 8 3X3 4X4 5X5 7X7 7X7	8X8 9X9 7X7 11X7 7X11	9X10 8X8 9X9 9X9 dead	21 50 50 269 269 141.4	401 572 269 664 664 514	635 402 572 572 572 dead 545.25 71.625
9/16/98 7 3X3 3X3 3X3 4X4 6X6 6X6	9X9 9X9 9X8 8X11 10X8	8X7 10X10 10X10 10X10 11X6	22 22 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	572 572 508 552 628 566.4 29.12	351 785 785 785 569 655 655
9/10/98 6 palp palp 2X2 3X3 6X6	9X8 9X7 8X8 8X8 8X8	6X6 6X7 8X8 8X8	0.78 0.78 6.3 21.2 169 39.612 51.7552	445 445 401 301 401 389.8 35.52	169 197 351 508 401 325.2 113.76
9/1/98 5 0 0 2X2 2X2	5X6 7X4 7X4 4X4	3X3 6X5 5X5 7X5	0 0 0 6.3 6.3 2.52 3.024	117 153 117 153 153 138.6	21.2 192 141 98 192 128.84 55.392
9118 8725/98 4 0 0 palp palp 2X2	500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	palp 5X5 5X5 6X5	0 0 0.78 0.78 6.3 1.572 1.8912	98 98 98 141 98 106.6	0.78 98 98 78.5 141 83.256 34.8928
Weeks post injection of tumor cells 8//98 8/13/98 8/19/98 8//96 9/	palp 5X3 4X4 6X4 5X5	0 palp 3X4 5X5 4X4	00000	0.78 58.8 50.2 113 98 64.156	0 0.78 28.2 98.1 50.2 35.456 40.78559
st injection 8/13/98 2 0 0 0 0	palp palp palp 3X4 2X2	palp palp palp 4X4 4X4	00000	0.78 0.78 0.78 28.3 6.3 7.388	0.78 0.78 0.78 50.2 50.2 20.548 27.06845
Weeks por 8/6/98 1 0 0 0 0 0	980 080 080 0	0 palp palp palp	00000	0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 0 0.624 0.348827	0 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.624 0.624
Animal No estrogen, no IGF-1 NOD1 NOD2 NOD2 NOD3 NOD4 NOD4	No estrogen, plus IGF-1 NOD6 NOD7 NOD8 NOD9 NOD9	Plus estrogen, plus IGF-1 NOD12 NOD12 NOD13 NOD14 NOD15	Animal No estrogen, no IGF-1 NOD1 NOD2 NOD3 NOD3 NOD5 Average tumor Volume Standard deviation	No estrogen, plus IGF-1 NOD6 NOD8 NOD9 NOD9 NOD10 Average tumor Volume Standard deviation	Plus estrogen, plus IGF-1 NOD11 NOD13 NOD13 NOD15 Average tumor volume Standard deviation

FIGURE IX

The effect of continuous infusion human IGF1 on MCF7R engraftment and growth in NOD scid/scid mice




TABLE X: Tumor measurements in scid/scid lit/lit mice exposed to continuous infusion IGF1 and/or 17 beta estradiol

FIGURE X

The effect of continuous infusion human IGF1 on MCF7R engraftment and growth in scid/scid lit/lit mice




TABLE XI: Tumor measurements in scid/scid lit +/- mice exposed to continuous infusion IGF1 and /or 17 beta estradiol

Animal	weeks post injection of turnor cens (f 8/6/98 8/13/98	8/13/98	8/19/98	8/22/98	9/1/98	9/10/98	9/16/98	9/23/98	
No estroden, no IGF-1	-	7		4	r2	60	_	60	on
LIT+/-1	0	alea	oled	3X3	2X2	5X5	8X8	8X7	11X6
LIT +/-2	0	alea	2X2	5X4	3X3	4X4	6X6	8X7	6X6
LT +/-3	0	0	0	alea	oled	5X4	9X9	/X/	2X6
LIT+/- 4	0	0	0	oled	alea	4×4	5X5	7X5	6X8
LIT+/- 5	0	0	0	ded	0	3X2	4X4	5X5	4X6
No estrogen, plus IGF-1									
9-/+LT	alea	ajed	2X2	5X5	5X7	7X7	8X8	8X6	10X9
LT+/- 7	oge	0	o	G	2X2	X 2	3X3	4×4	5X5
LIT+/-8	cjed	oa O	paip	e Xe	eXe	5X	<u>X</u>	X	10X7
6-/+LI	clea	0	0	exe SX	5X5	8X8	χ.	11X8	12X8
LIT+/- 10	4X4	2X2	2X2	4X4	5X 2	9X9	11X8	12X7	6X.
Plus estrogen, plus IGF-1									
LIT+/-11	0	2X3	4X4	7X5	7X5	7X8	6X6	dead	dead
LIT+/-12	aled	4X4	5X3	5X4	7X5	8X5	10X6	12X9	13X11
LIT+/-13	ded	4X3	4X4	3X3	7X5	10X8	11X10	11X7	12X10
LIT+/-14		ojeo	4X3	6X4	7X6	8X6	10X7	11X7	10X9
117+/-15	c	5,23	5X3	5X5	7X6	axe	5X.2	10X10	10710
Animal	ı			!	<u> </u>	!			
No estrogen, no IGF-1	c	Z 7	6 Z	2.	e.	ď	180	25,	9
LIT +/-2	0	82.0	9	78.5	21.0	8 6	<u>6</u>	8	69 69
LT +/-3	0	o	o	0.78	0.78	82	169	269	230
LT+/- 4	0	0	0	0.78	0.78	20	8	192	452
5-7-11-1 11-1-1-15		0	0	0.78	c	4	i G	6	75
Average tumor volume	0	0.312	1.416	20.408	5.812	22	3 5	252.2	299
Standard deviation	1	0.427224	2.751051	23.5536	6.3504	24	45.6	85.76	169.2
No estrogen, plus IGF-1									
LIT+/-6	0.78	0.78	6.3	86	137	269	49	508 508	902
LT+/- 7	0.78	0.78	0	0.78	6.3	25	7	8	86 6
8-/+LI	0.78	0.78	0.78	72	24	92	269	269	549
6-/+上7	0.78	0	0	211	89 69	5	569	759	904
LIT+/- 10	50.2	6.3	6.3	50.2	80 60	169	759	791	346
Average tumor volume	10.664	1.8288	2.8992	76.196	72.06	188.4	344	475.4	520.6
Standard deviation	22.1013	2.578046	3.323534	62.6432	46.728	117.28	189.2	252.72	238.88
Plus estrogen, plus IGF-1	•	Č			Ş	Ş	Í		
	.	a i			76	3	DESD 7/C	!	dead
LIT +/-12	0.78	50.2		78.5	192	251	471		1459
LIT+/-13	0.78	37.9			192	628	949	664	1130
LIT+/-14	0	0.78		•	230	508	549	664	706
LIT+/-15	0	58.9	58.9	86	230	381	346	785	785
Avrage tumor volume	0.312	31.436	51.22	100.5	207.2	415	577.4	782.5	1020

The effect of continuous infusion human IGF1 on MCF7R engraftment and growth in scid/scid lit +/- mice

FIGURE XI




FIGURE XII

Nested RT-PCR Assay for IGFR From Tumor Samples Obtained From Animals Treated With Bolus rhGH $\,$

Lit +/- +estrogen/+rhGH Tumor 2
Lit +/- +estrogen/+rhGH Tumor 3
MGF7R control
-RT
-RT
Lit/Lit -estrogen/-rhGH Tumor 1
Lit/Lit cestrogen/-rhGH Tumor 1
-RT
-RT
-RT
-RT
-RT
-RT

FIGURE XIII

Nested RT-PCR Assay for IGF 2 From Tumor Samples Obtained From Animals Treated With Bolus rhGH

Lit +/- -estrohen/-rhGH Tumor 1

Lit +/- -estrogen/-rhGH Tumor
LIt/Lit +estrogen/-rhGH Tumor
Lit/lit +estrogen/-rhGH Tumor

Lit +/- -estr
Lit +/- -estr
Lit +/- -estr
Lit Lit +estr
Lit Lit +estr
Lit Lit | Hestr
Lit Lit | Hestr
Lit | Hestr
Lit | Hestr
Lit | Hestr

FIGURE XIV

Initial Attempt To Develop RNA Protection Assay For ${\tt IGFR}$

Blank Yeast Extract Yeast Extract 1::1 Yeast Extract 1::2 MCF7R 10ug 1:160C MCF7R 10um 1:800	MCF7R 10ug 1:40m	MCF7R 10um 1:800	MCF7R 10ug 1:1600	Yeast Extract 1:200	Yeast Extract 1:1000	Yeast Extract	
	MCF7R	MCFJR	MCF 7R	Yeast	Yeast	Yeast	Blank

APPENDIX

STATEMENT OF WORK

Technical Objectives (Specific Aims) 1-3

Task 1: Months 1-4:

Implant MCF-7R tumor cells into experimental

animals

Initiate experiments in Aim 1 with rhGH given by

bolus or continuous infusion. Measure serum levels of GH.

Task 2: Months 1-4:

Synthesize probes for detection of hGH and IGF-1

for use in northern and western analyses.

Test probes for efficacy on positive and negative

control specimens.

Task 3: Months 5-8:

Implant MCF-7R tumor cells into experimental

animals.

Initiate experiments in Aim 2 with IGF-1

Measure serum levels of IGF-1

Task 4: Months 5-8:

Determine if additional dose levels of rhGH could optimize results. If so, set-up experimental animals to repeat experiments in Aim 1 at higher or lower

dose of rhGH.

Task 5: Months 5-8:

Perform northern and western analyses on tumors

from animals in Specific Aim 1. Probe with GH

probe.

Task 6: Months 9-12:

Implant MCF-7R tumor cells into experimental

animals.

Initiate experiments in Aim 3 with IGF-1/17-β

estradiol.

Task 7: Months 9-12:

Determine if additional dose levels of IGF-1 could

optimize experimental results. If so, set-up

experimental animals to repeat experiments in Aim

1 at higher or lower dose of IGF-1.

Task 8: Months 9-12:

Perform northern and western analyses on tumors

from animals in Specific Aim 2. with IGF-1 probe.

Task 9: Months 13-16:

Perform northern and western analyses on tumors

from animals in Specific Aim 3. Probe with IGF-1

probe.

Task 10: Months 13-16: Repeat any experiments in Aims 1-3 that could help

to further optimize the experimental model

Task 11: Months 13-20 Perform northern and western analyses on animals

studied in Task 4,7.

Task 12: Months 15-24: Implement optimized experimental parameters in

animal model. Begin implanting primary breast

cancers into optimized animal model.